Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World

Preface: The AI-Assisted Analytical Method

This collection of case studies represents a new methodology in historical research: the fusion of human theoretical direction with artificial intelligence's synthetic capacity.

These studies were produced through a collaborative process between **Thomas J. Bryan** (human researcher/author) and **Gemini** (Google's AI model). This partnership was not merely one of dictation and transcription, but of rigorous structural execution.

The Division of Labor:

- **Human Contribution:** The establishment of the "Asset vs. Benefit" theoretical framework, the definition of the prosecutorial narrative voice (Style B), the selection of historical targets, and the final analytical judgment.
- **AI Contribution:** The rapid synthesis of vast historical datasets, the rigorous application of the 8-part structural template, the identification of specific quantitative evidence (wealth statistics, casualty figures, legislative texts), and the drafting of the narrative text.

The Methodology:
Each study is built on the "Elite Capture Analysis Framework," a protocol designed to strip away the neutral tone of standard historiography. Instead of balancing competing narratives, these studies relentlessly track the movement of assets ("Stock") and the concession of temporary benefits ("Flow"). They function as forensic audits of political regimes, asking a single question: When the government changed, who kept the land?
This collaboration demonstrates that AI, when guided by a strong intellectual framework, can move beyond summarization to perform structural, critical analysis of power.

Thomas J. Bryan & Gemini
November 2025

Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World..... 1
Preface: The AI-Assisted Analytical Method.....1
The Caribbean Model: Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World.....3
Part 1 of 4: The Universal Crisis of Emancipation..... 3
Introduction: The Problem of Freedom..... 3
I. THE BRITISH PRECEDENT: The "Apprenticeship" Model (1834-1838).....3
II. THE AMERICAN IMPORTATION: The Black Codes (1865-1866)..... 4
III. COMPARATIVE MECHANISMS: The Atlantic Toolkit.....4
The Caribbean Model: Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World. 6
Part 2 of 4: The Criminalization of Freedom (1865-1877)..... 6
Introduction: The Invention of the Criminal Class..... 6
IV. THE "PIG LAWS": Manufacturing Felons..... 6
V. THE CONVICT LEASE SYSTEM: Slavery by Another Name..... 7
VI. DEBT PEONAGE: The Universal Trap.....7
The Caribbean Model: Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World. 9
Part 3 of 4: The Apprenticeship Betrayal (1865-1870)..... 9
Introduction: The Theft of the Next Generation.....9
VII. THE LEGAL ARCHITECTURE OF KIDNAPPING..... 9
VIII. COMPARATIVE CASE STUDY: Maryland vs. The Cape Colony.....10
IX. THE ECONOMIC LOGIC: Capitalizing the Future..... 10
The Caribbean Model: Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World. 12
Part 4 of 4: The Synthesis - A Unified Theory of Post-Slavery Elite Preservation.....12
Introduction: The Failure of the "Free Labor" Promise.....12
X. THE ATLANTIC PATTERN: A Comparative Analysis.....12
XI. FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS: Flow vs. Stock in the Atlantic World..... 13
XII. CONCLUSION: The "Emancipation" Mirage..... 13
Thematic Bibliography: The Caribbean Model and Post-Slavery Labor Control (1834-1900).....15
I. GENERAL COMPARATIVE STUDIES (SLAVERY & EMANCIPATION).....15
II. THE US SOUTH: BLACK CODES AND RECONSTRUCTION..... 15
III. THE CARIBBEAN MODEL: APPRENTICESHIP AND VAGRANCY..... 15
IV. THE CONVICT LEASE AND PENAL LABOR..... 16
V. APPRENTICESHIP AND CHILD LABOR (US & CAPE COLONY)..... 16
VI. PRIMARY SOURCE COLLECTIONS..... 16

The Caribbean Model: Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World

Part 1 of 4: The Universal Crisis of Emancipation

Introduction: The Problem of Freedom

When slavery ends, the planter elite faces a universal problem: How do you make a free person work like a slave?

In 1834, the British Empire abolished slavery. In 1848, France followed suit. In 1865, the United States joined them. In every case, the result was not freedom, but a frantic legal innovation by the elite to prevent the liberated workforce from becoming independent.

The US South did not invent the Black Codes in a vacuum. They imported a toolkit of labor control that had been perfected in Jamaica, Barbados, and Mauritius decades earlier. This case study tracks the migration of these legal mechanisms—vagrancy laws, apprenticeship statutes, and contract enforcement—demonstrating that the post-Civil War South was not unique, but merely the latest adopter of the "Creole Island" model of elite preservation.

I. THE BRITISH PRECEDENT: The "Apprenticeship" Model (1834-1838)

To understand the American South in 1865, one must look at Jamaica in 1834. When Britain abolished slavery, the planters demanded a transition period. They called it "Apprenticeship."

A. The Six-Year Lie

- **The Mechanism:** Former slaves were declared "apprentices." They were legally free, but required by law to work for their former masters for 40.5 hours a week without pay.
- **The Logic:** The elite argued that the enslaved were "not ready" for freedom and needed tutelage. In reality, this was a way to extract unpaid labor (Flow) while maintaining the plantation structure (Stock).
- **The Suppression:** Special magistrates were appointed to punish "laziness" and "insolence." The whip was banned, but the treadmill and the prison cell replaced it. The state assumed the violence previously exercised by the master.

B. The Vagrancy Trap in the Caribbean

Once Apprenticeship ended in 1838, the planters faced a labor exodus. Workers left the plantations to farm their own plots (squatting). The elite response was the Vagrancy Law.

- **Barbados:** On this small island where all land was owned by planters, the Vagrancy Act of

1840 made it a crime to be "idle." Since there was no free land, "idleness" effectively meant refusing to work for a planter at the wage offered.
- **The Contract:** Laws were passed requiring workers to sign annual contracts. Breaking a contract was not a civil dispute; it was a criminal offense punishable by jail.

II. THE AMERICAN IMPORTATION: The Black Codes (1865-1866)

When the Civil War ended, Southern legislatures did not look to the North for legal models; they looked to the Caribbean.

A. The Mississippi Code: Copy-Pasting Control

Mississippi was the first to act. Its 1865 Black Code was a near-direct translation of the Caribbean vagrancy laws.

- **The Vagrancy Definition:** The law defined "vagrants" as "stubborn servants," "common runaways," and anyone who "misspends what they earn."
- **The Proof of Employment:** By January of each year, every Black man had to have a written labor contract. If he could not produce one, he was arrested.
- **The Auction:** Once arrested for vagrancy, the prisoner was auctioned off to the highest bidder who would pay his fine in exchange for his labor. The state literally sold the man back to the planter.

B. The "Apprentice" Loophole in the South

The US South seized on the concept of "apprenticeship" just as the British had.

- **Forced Guardianship:** Southern courts declared Black children "orphans" if their parents were deemed unable to support them (often simply because they were poor).
- **The Transfer:** These children were "apprenticed" to their former masters. The master regained the labor of the child until age 21, with the full force of the state enforcing the bond. It was slavery by another name, sanctioned by the probate courts.

III. COMPARATIVE MECHANISMS: The Atlantic Toolkit

The parallels are not coincidental; they are structural.

Mechanism	Jamaica/Barbados (1830s/40s)	US South (1860s/70s)	Function
Vagrancy Laws	Criminalized "idleness" and squatting.	Criminalized unemployment; forced labor for fines.	Force workers into the labor market (Flow).
Contract Enforcement	Breach of contract = Jail time.	Breach of contract = Arrest & forced	Prevent labor mobility.

		return.	
Apprenticeship	4-6 year forced transition period.	Forced "binding out" of Black children.	Extract labor from the next generation.
Land Restriction	High prices/taxes on small plots.	Refusal to sell/redistribute land.	Protect elite assets (Stock).

Part 1 Conclusion:
By 1866, the US South had successfully replicated the post-emancipation legal structure of the British Caribbean. The elite realized that they did not need to own the worker to control the labor. They only needed to control the law. The vagrancy statutes and apprenticeship laws converted the state judicial system into the overseer. The "Whip of the Master" was replaced by the "Whip of the Sheriff."
End of Part 1

The Caribbean Model: Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World

Part 2 of 4: The Criminalization of Freedom (1865-1877)

Introduction: The Invention of the Criminal Class

In both the Caribbean and the US South, the end of slavery created a "crisis of labor." The planter elite solved this crisis by transforming the justice system into a labor recruitment agency.

The mechanism was simple but devastating: criminalize the condition of being free but propertyless. By making unemployment a crime (vagrancy) and petty theft a felony (Pig Laws), the state could arrest thousands of potential workers and lease them back to private plantations. The "convict" became the new "slave," but with a crucial difference: since the planter did not own the convict, he had no financial incentive to keep him alive.

IV. THE "PIG LAWS": Manufacturing Felons

The US South innovated on the Caribbean model by drastically lowering the threshold for felony theft. This was a precision-targeted legal weapon.

A. The Definition of Grand Larceny

In 1876, Mississippi passed the "Pig Law."

- ***The Change:*** *Previously, grand larceny (punishable by years in prison) required the theft of property worth at least $20. The new law defined the theft of any farm animal (hog, cow, chicken) worth more than $1 as grand larceny.*
- ***The Target:*** *This law was specifically aimed at hungry, impoverished Black freedpeople who might steal a pig to feed their families.*
- ***The Result:*** *The number of convicts in Mississippi quadrupled within two years. The state penitentiary, previously housing 272 people (mostly white), suddenly held over 1,000 (90% Black).*

B. The Comparative Logic

This mirrored the "Praedial Larceny" laws passed in Jamaica in the 1850s, which imposed harsh sentences for the theft of crops. In both cases, the elite used the criminal code to protect agricultural assets (Stock) from the desperate hunger of the landless workforce (Flow).

V. THE CONVICT LEASE SYSTEM: Slavery by Another Name

Once the prisons were filled by the Pig Laws and Vagrancy Statutes, the state faced a cost problem. The solution was the "Lease."

A. The Economics of the Lease

Southern states leased their prisoners to private corporations and planters.

- ***The Profit:*** *Alabama derived 73% of its total state revenue from convict leasing in 1898. The state did not pay for prisons; prisons paid for the state.*
- ***The Mortality Rate:*** *In 1873, 25% of all Black leased convicts in Alabama died. In some camps, the death rate was 40% per year.*
- ***The Comparison:*** *Under slavery, a prime field hand was a capital asset worth $1,500. The master protected this asset. Under the lease system, a convict was a rented tool costing $9 a month. If the tool broke (died), the lessee simply leased another one. The "Stock" value of the human being had dropped to zero, leading to a catastrophic increase in brutality.*

B. The Atlantic Context

This system had parallels in the British Empire's use of "penal labor" in the colonies, but the US South's industrial scale was unique. The US system integrated the penal system directly into the capitalist market, supplying labor for railroads (Tennessee Coal, Iron and Railroad Company) and turpentine camps that free labor refused to accept.

VI. DEBT PEONAGE: The Universal Trap

For those who avoided the prison camps, the "Crop Lien" system provided a different form of bondage. This was the American version of the "truck system" used in British industrial towns and Caribbean plantations.

A. The Mathematics of Debt

- ***The Credit Price:*** *Merchants and planters charged "credit prices" for food and supplies that were 30% to 60% higher than cash prices.*
- ***The Interest:*** *Interest rates on these debts often exceeded 50%.*
- ***The Lock-in:*** *Laws in Alabama, Georgia, and Mississippi made it a criminal offense for a sharecropper to leave the land if he owed a debt. Since the math of sharecropping ensured perpetual debt, the worker was legally bound to the land forever.*

B. Case Study: Mentha Morrison (Georgia, 1901)

The case of Mentha Morrison illustrates the system's totality. When her husband Jackson owed a $100 debt he couldn't pay, a local planter paid it off. In exchange, Jackson—and Mentha—had to sign a contract to work for the planter. When Jackson fled the brutal conditions, the planter held Mentha hostage, forcing her to work in the fields while nursing a baby, until she escaped. This was not "contract labor"; it was hostage-taking sanctioned by

the state.

Part 2 Conclusion:

By 1880, the US South had perfected a system of labor control that combined the legal structures of the Caribbean with the industrial brutality of the Gilded Age. The "Pig Laws" filled the prisons. The "Lease" emptied the prisons into the mines. And "Debt Peonage" kept the rest of the population tethered to the cotton fields. The elite had successfully separated the worker from his freedom without granting him the security of property.

End of Part 2

The Caribbean Model: Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World

Part 3 of 4: The Apprenticeship Betrayal (1865-1870)

Introduction: The Theft of the Next Generation

The most sophisticated mechanism the US South imported from the Caribbean was not the chain gang, but the "Apprenticeship." This legal tool allowed the elite to recapture the labor of the youth—the future of the workforce—under the guise of benevolent guardianship.

In the British Caribbean (1834-1838), "Apprenticeship" was a universal transition period for all former slaves. In the US South, it was a targeted weapon used against Black families. By declaring Black parents "unfit" due to poverty (a poverty created by the elite's refusal to pay wages), the courts could legally seize their children and bind them to their former masters until age 21.

This was the "Stock" of the future, secured through the "Flow" of judicial power.

VII. THE LEGAL ARCHITECTURE OF KIDNAPPING

A. The "Unfit Parent" Clause

Laws in North Carolina, Mississippi, and Maryland gave probate judges immense discretion.

- ***The Criterion:*** *A judge could bind out a child if the parents were "unable to provide" for them. Since the elite controlled wages and land access, almost all Black parents could be defined as "unable."*
- ***The Preference:*** *The laws explicitly stated a preference for the "former owner" as the master of the apprentice. This was not a coincidence; it was a restoration of title.*
- ***The Scale:*** *In some Maryland counties, up to 25% of Black children were bound out in the years immediately following emancipation.*

B. The Contract of Non-Consent

The apprenticeship contracts were a parody of free labor.

- ***No Consent:*** *The parents' consent was often not required. In many cases, children were seized from their homes by the sheriff and delivered to the planter.*
- ***No Exit:*** *The contract lasted until age 21 for males and 18 for females. Running away was a criminal offense.*
- ***The Value:*** *The master received years of labor in exchange for "food, clothing, and instruction." The "instruction" was almost always "farming"—the very labor they would*

have done as slaves.

VIII. COMPARATIVE CASE STUDY: Maryland vs. The Cape Colony

The US practice mirrors the "inboekstelsel" (apprenticeship system) used by Boers in South Africa and the British in the Cape Colony after slavery ended there in 1834.

Feature	***US South (1865-1870)***	***Cape Colony (1834-1840s)***	***Function***
Target	*"Orphans" & children of poor parents.*	*"Destitute" children.*	*Capture youth labor.*
Beneficiary	*Former Master preferred.*	*Farmers/Settlers.*	*Labor supply continuity.*
Justification	*"Tutelage" and "Training."*	*"Civilizing" influence.*	*Moral cover for exploitation.*
Resistance	*Freedmen's Bureau courts (sometimes).*	*Missionaries & Magistrates.*	*Legal battles over custody.*

A. The Case of In Re Turner (1867)

Elizabeth Turner, a young Black girl in Maryland, was bound to her former master two days after the state abolished slavery.

- ***The Challenge:*** *Her case went to the federal courts. Chief Justice Chase ruled that the apprenticeship was "involuntary servitude" violating the 13th Amendment because it imposed conditions on Black children not imposed on white children.*
- ***The Limit:*** *While a legal victory, it required federal intervention. Once federal troops withdrew (1877), the local courts returned to using guardianship laws to control Black youth, though less overtly.*

IX. THE ECONOMIC LOGIC: Capitalizing the Future

Why focus on children?

- ***Asset Recovery:*** *The loss of slavery wiped out capital value. Apprenticeship restored a portion of that value (the future labor stream) without the initial purchase cost.*
- ***Discipline:*** *By controlling the children, the planter exercised leverage over the parents. A mother was less likely to flee a plantation if her children were legally bound to the owner.*
- ***Cost Shifting:*** *The "cost" of raising the child was minimal (subsistence food), while the "return" (labor from age 10-21) was substantial. It was a high-yield, low-risk asset class created by judicial fiat.*

Part 3 Conclusion:

The Apprenticeship system demonstrated the elite's ability to adapt legal forms to preserve economic substance. When they could no longer own the person for life, they used the courts to own the child for their most productive years. It was a inter-generational transfer of wealth from the Black family to the white planter, sanctioned by the state under the guise of "child welfare."

End of Part 3

The Caribbean Model: Post-Slavery Labor Control in the US South and the Atlantic World

Part 4 of 4: The Synthesis - A Unified Theory of Post-Slavery Elite Preservation

Introduction: The Failure of the "Free Labor" Promise

The tragedy of the post-slavery Atlantic world was not that "freedom failed," but that it was never really tried. In Jamaica, Barbados, Mauritius, and the US South, the abolition of slavery was immediately followed by the construction of a new legal architecture designed to replicate the economic outcomes of slavery without the legal title.

The planter class, facing the loss of their human capital (Stock), successfully used the state to seize control of the labor market (Flow). By 1880, the result was a unified "Atlantic System" of coerced labor that spanned empires. The US South was not an outlier; it was the final and most brutal iteration of a colonial model perfected decades earlier.

X. THE ATLANTIC PATTERN: A Comparative Analysis

When we view the US South alongside other post-slavery societies, the pattern is undeniable.

A. The Four Pillars of Re-Enslavement

Across all these societies, the elite deployed four specific mechanisms to recapture the workforce:

1. ***Vagrancy Laws:*** *Criminalizing unemployment to force labor participation. (Jamaica 1830s, Mississippi 1865).*
2. ***Contract Enforcement:*** *Making breach of labor contract a criminal offense punishable by jail. (Barbados 1840, Alabama 1880s).*
3. ***Apprenticeship/Child Seizure:*** *Using guardianship laws to capture the labor of the youth. (Cape Colony 1834, Maryland 1860s).*
4. ***Land Restriction:*** *Preventing the freed population from acquiring land (Stock) to force them into wage labor (Flow).*

B. The Divergence: Where the US South Went Further

While the US South adopted the Caribbean model, it added two innovations that made its system uniquely vicious:

- ***The Convict Lease:*** *While the British colonies used penal labor, the US South industrialized it, leasing prisoners to private corporations (coal mines, railroads) for*

profit. This created a financial incentive for mass incarceration that did not exist in the same way in Jamaica.

- ***Lynch Law:*** *The Caribbean planters relied on the colonial state for violence. The Southern elite supplemented state violence with extra-legal terror (the Klan) to police the racial boundary, a feature largely absent in the British Caribbean after the Morant Bay Rebellion (1865).*

XI. FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS: Flow vs. Stock in the Atlantic World

Applying the core framework to this comparative history reveals the underlying economic logic.

A. Flow (Conceded but Captured)

- ***The Concession:*** *The legal status of "slave" was abolished. Workers gained the right to wages and marriage.*
- ***The Capture:*** *The "Flow" of wages was immediately recaptured through debt peonage (truck system/crop lien) and vagrancy fines. The worker was paid, but the pay was extracted back by the employer-merchant.*

B. Stock (Preserved and Concentrated)

- ***The Preservation:*** *In both the Caribbean and the US South, land ownership remained concentrated in elite hands. The "ex-slaves" did not become yeoman farmers; they became tenants.*
- ***The Result:*** *Because the "Stock" (land/capital) was never redistributed, the "Flow" (labor) had no bargaining power. Freedom without assets is simply the freedom to starve or submit.*

XII. CONCLUSION: The "Emancipation" Mirage

The history of the post-slavery Atlantic world teaches a devastating lesson: ***Emancipation is not a moment; it is a process, and it can be reversed.***

The abolition of slavery destroyed the legal property rights of the master, but it did not destroy his economic power. By pivoting from owning the person to controlling the law (vagrancy, apprenticeship, contracts), the elite successfully maintained their extraction of wealth.

The US South's "Black Codes" and "Jim Crow" laws were not unique pathologies of American racism. They were standard operating procedure for a planter class facing labor liberation. They were the Caribbean model, imported, refined, and ruthlessly applied to the American continent. The chains were broken, but the fence remained.

End of Case Study

Thematic Bibliography: The Caribbean Model and Post-Slavery Labor Control (1834-1900)

I. GENERAL COMPARATIVE STUDIES (SLAVERY & EMANCIPATION)

- **Foner, Eric.** *Nothing But Freedom: Emancipation and Its Legacy* (1983).
  - *Key Contribution:* The seminal comparative work linking the post-emancipation experiences of the US South and the Caribbean, specifically regarding the struggle over land and labor control.
- **Holt, Thomas C.** *The Problem of Freedom: Race, Labor, and Politics in Jamaica and Britain, 1832-1938* (1992).
  - *Key Contribution:* Detailed analysis of the transition from slavery to "free labor" in Jamaica, establishing the pattern of vagrancy laws and state coercion.
- **Drescher, Seymour.** *The Mighty Experiment: Free Labor versus Slavery in British Emancipation* (2002).
  - *Key Contribution:* Examines the economic logic of the British transition and the "Apprenticeship" model.
- **Scott, Rebecca J.** *Degrees of Freedom: Louisiana and Cuba after Slavery* (2005).
  - *Key Contribution:* Comparative analysis of legal mechanisms used to restrict freedpeople in different post-slavery societies.

II. THE US SOUTH: BLACK CODES AND RECONSTRUCTION

- **Du Bois, W.E.B.** *Black Reconstruction in America* (1935).
  - *Key Contribution:* The foundational text arguing that the post-war period was a battle for economic democracy that was crushed by a "counter-revolution of property."
- **Novak, Daniel A.** *The Wheel of Servitude: Black Forced Labor after Slavery* (1978).
  - *Key Contribution:* Analyzes the specific legal mechanisms (vagrancy, debt peonage) used to recapture black labor.
- **Cohen, William.** *At Freedom's Edge: Black Mobility and the Southern White Quest for Racial Control, 1861-1915* (1991).
  - *Key Contribution:* Focuses on the restriction of movement (mobility) as the central mechanism of labor control.

III. THE CARIBBEAN MODEL: APPRENTICESHIP AND VAGRANCY

- **Green, William A.** *British Slave Emancipation: The Sugar Colonies and the Great Experiment 1830-1865* (1976).
  - *Key Contribution:* Documents the failure of the "Apprenticeship" system and the planter class's turn to legal coercion.
- **Turner, Mary (ed.).** *From Chattel Slaves to Wage Slaves: The Dynamics of Labour*

Bargaining in the Americas (1995).
    - *Key Contribution:* Collection of essays detailing the specific statutes used to enforce labor discipline across the Atlantic world.
- **Lazarus-Black, Mindie.** *Legitimate Acts and Illegal Encounters: Law and Society in Antigua and Barbuda* (1994).
    - *Key Contribution:* Shows how the "rule of law" was constructed specifically to maintain planter dominance after slavery.

IV. THE CONVICT LEASE AND PENAL LABOR

- **Blackmon, Douglas A.** *Slavery by Another Name: The Re-Enslavement of Black Americans from the Civil War to World War II* (2008).
    - *Key Contribution:* The definitive account of the industrialization of the convict lease system (Pig Laws) in the US South.
- **Oshinsky, David M.** *Worse Than Slavery: Parchman Farm and the Ordeal of Jim Crow Justice* (1996).
    - *Key Contribution:* Case study of the Mississippi penal system as a mechanism of labor extraction.
- **Lichtenstein, Alex.** *Twice the Work of Free Labor: The Political Economy of Convict Labor in the New South* (1996).
    - *Key Contribution:* Economic analysis of how convict leasing funded Southern industrialization (railroads, coal).

V. APPRENTICESHIP AND CHILD LABOR (US & CAPE COLONY)

- **Zipf, Karin L.** *Labor of Innocents: Forced Apprenticeship in North Carolina, 1715-1919* (2005).
    - *Key Contribution:* Detailed study of how apprenticeship laws were used to seize Black children from their parents after 1865.
- **Scully, Pamela.** *Liberating the Family? Gender and British Slave Emancipation in the Rural Western Cape, South Africa, 1823-1853* (1997).
    - *Key Contribution:* Comparative evidence on the "inboekstelsel" system in South Africa, showing the parallel use of child apprenticeship to maintain labor supply.
- **Berlin, Ira, et al. (eds.).** *Freedom: A Documentary History of Emancipation, 1861-1867*.
    - *Key Contribution:* Primary source collection containing the texts of apprenticeship contracts and Freedmen's Bureau reports on child seizures.

VI. PRIMARY SOURCE COLLECTIONS

- **The Black Codes of Mississippi (1865).** (Legislative text).
- **The Vagrancy Act of Barbados (1840).** (Legislative text).
- **Report of the Joint Committee on Reconstruction (1866).** (US Government investigation into Southern conditions).
- **Governor's Messages of Alabama (1870-1900).** (State documents detailing revenue from convict leasing).

End of Bibliography

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

You are free to:
- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:
- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection *Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations*